Paul Alan Levy Public Citizen Litigation Group 1600 20th Street NW Washington, D.C. 20009 (202) 588-7725 Telephone (202) 588-7795 Facsimile plevy@citizen.org

Bruce P. Keller
Jeffrey P. Cunard
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 909-6000 Telephone
(212) 909-6836 Facsimile
bpkeller@debevoise.com
jpcunard@debevoise.com

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 2-27-15

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ROBERT ALLEN LEE, individually : and on behalf of all others similarly situated, :

Plaintiffs,

STACY MAKHNEVICH et al.,

Civil Action No. 1:11-cv-08665-PAC

Defendants.

[PROPOSED FORM OF] JUDGMENT

DEFAULT

DIC

The Court declares as follows:

A. Notwithstanding the claim of defendants Stacy Makhnevich, Aster Dental, Chrysler Building Dental Association, North East PC, South East Dental Suite, Lincoln Square Dental Arts, Lincoln Square Dental Arts of Manhattan, and Chrysler Dental (collectively, "defendants") to

ownership of the copyright in writings by plaintiff Robert Allen Lee about them, Robert Allen Lee's

posting of public comments about defendants' services ("Lee's Commentary") is a non-infringing

fair use pursuant to Section 107 of the Copyright Act;

B. Obtaining the promise by plaintiff Robert Allen Lee in the Mutual Agreement to Maintain

Privacy (the "Agreement') not to publish criticism of defendants, the Agreement's purported

assignment of copyrights, and the assertion of copyright claims by defendants for the express purpose

of preventing the dissemination of Lee's Commentary, constitute breaches of fiduciary duty and

violations of dental ethics and are subject to the equitable defenses of unclean hands, and, as to such

assignment and assertion, constitute copyright misuse;

C. Robert Allen Lee's assignment and promise in the Agreement not to publish criticisms

of defendants are null and void for lack of consideration;

D. Robert Allen Lee's assignment and promise in the Agreement not to publish criticisms

of defendants are null and void for unconscionability;

E. The Agreement is a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 349(a) of the New

York General Business Law; and

F. Lee's Commentary is not actionable defamation under New York common law.

Plaintiff Lee is awarded \$4,766 in damages against defendants, jointly and severally, for their

breach of contract, together with his costs of suit.

New York, NY

February 27, 2015

United States District Judge

-2-